

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/520,634	Applicant(s) DE KONING, CORNELIS JOHANNES	
	Examiner Igor Kershteyn	Art Unit 3745	

**All Participants:**

(1) Igor Kershteyn.

(2) John C. Holman (Reg. No. 22,769).

**Date of Interview:** 22 November 2006

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
1,6,7,9,10

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Agreement was reached to amend claims to overcome indefiniteness rejections and to correct inaccuracies (See Examiner's Amendment)*


  


**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)